

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a final office action on the merits. Examiner acknowledges receipt of communications from the Applicant dated 3/1/2010, where Claims 1, and 33. Therefore, claims 1-6, 8-14, 16-27, 33-38, 40, 41, and 44-48 are currently pending and have been considered below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 10, 11, 14, 16, 17, 21, 25, 26, 33, 40, 42, 43, 44, 46, 47 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humplemen et al. (US 20030018969), in view of Albright (October 2, 2000, Knight-Ridder Tribune News, St. Petersburg Times – Florida), in

**view of Walker et al. (US 20040243478), and further in view of
Fernandes (US 20030040958).**

As per claim 1, Humpleman et al. discloses a method
of marketing a mass consumer product over a broadcast network a program, the
method comprising:

program containing information about the mass consumer product (See page 4,
paragraph [0059], which discusses the coupon strategy for generating leads and for
driving store traffic for the purposes of test driving Volkswagen Turbo Bug);

obtaining personal information from the at least one of the consumers (see
[0025] In accordance with a further feature of the invention, the user device is a digital
device programmed to select from the broadcast stream targeted ad content according
to a given user profile. The user profile may be demographic information that has been
assembled by the user device or that has been input);

selecting, using a processor of a computer system, an item suited for the
consumer having the obtained personal information (see [0046] In a separate screen 15
entitled specials, or deals, the user is presented with a selection of targeted billboards
which highlight special offers, further sorted by transactional type; everyday, occasional
and entertainment. The offers have been selected and presented in order of relevance
to the household profile. By indicating a preference in browsing more content of a
particular transactional type, the viewer is presented with additional offers of this
variety).

Humpleman et al. does not explicitly disclose broadcasting a program of at least about 10 minutes in duration, the program containing information about the mass consumer product;

However Albright discloses the use of infomercials of 10 minutes to promote products to a viewing audience. The Infomercial Awards Conference held at Las Vegas Nevada in 2000 had discussions about the Home Shopping Network (HSN) in St. Petersburg, Florida being one of the epicenters of infomercial production and further discussed that HSN began airing 30-minute shows on USA Network, Sci-Fi Channel and FoxSports Network (Page 3, paragraph 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add broadcasting of direct marketing programs of at least 10 minutes in duration as to the system of Humpleman et al. in order to utilize the attention grabbing opportunity to fully market consumer products to a wide viewing audience.

Humpleman et al. does not explicitly disclose providing contact information for consumers to use to request an incentive associated with a prospective purchase of the product, the incentive being associated with a unique code for tracking usage of the incentive to obtain information related to marketing the product.

However, Walker et al. discloses providing contact information for consumers to use to request an incentive associated with a prospective purchase of the product, the incentive being associated with a unique code for tracking usage of the incentive to obtain information related to marketing the product (see paragraphs [0204], [0294], and [0122]).

Art Unit: 3622

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add providing contact information for consumers to use to request an incentive associated with a prospective purchase of the product, the incentive being associated with a unique code for tracking usage of the incentive to obtain information related to marketing the product to the system of Humpleman et al. in order to effectively manage the distribution and tracking of incentives such as coupons made available to consumers.

Humpleman et al. does not explicitly disclose customizing the incentive such that incentive incentivizes purchase of the item selected based on the obtained personal information.

However, Fernandes discloses customizing the incentive such that incentive incentivizes purchase of the item selected based on the obtained personal information (See [0012] via tailored promotions based on customer preference information).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add customizing the incentive such that incentive incentivizes purchase of the item selected based on the obtained personal information such as preferences to the system of Humpleman et al. in order to ensure the customer would be interested in the incentive.

As per claim 10, Humpleman et al. discloses transmitting the incentive to consumers (See page 4, paragraph [0067], which discusses offers downloaded by the viewer).

As per claim 11, Humpleman et al. discloses transmitting further information about the product to the consumers along with the incentive (See page 4, paragraph [0060], which discusses links providing access to additional video messages or product information or offers).

As per claim 12, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose the further information includes individualized advice related to the mass consumer product and based on the obtained personal information.

Walker et al. ('6111) discloses the further information includes individualized advice related to the mass consumer product and based on the obtained personal information (See col 3, lines 42-55)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add individualized advice related to the mass consumer product and based on the obtained personal information to the system of Humpleman et al. in order to ensure the customer is most satisfied with the product.

As per claim 14, Humpleman et al., does not disclose where broadcasting the program includes broadcasting a program of approximately one half of an hour in duration.

However, Albright teaches the Infomercial Awards Conference held at Las Vegas Nevada in 2000 and the Home Shopping Network (HSN) in St. Petersburg, Florida being one of the epicenters of infomercial production having broadcasting the program includes broadcasting a program of approximately one half of an hour in duration (See

Art Unit: 3622

page 3, paragraph 4, which discusses HSN began airing 30-minute shows on USA Network, Sci-Fi Channel and FoxSports Network).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Humpleman et al. to include broadcasts of direct marketing programs of approximately one half of an hour in duration as taught by Albright via HSN in order to utilize the attention grabbing opportunity to fully market consumer products to a wide viewing audience.

As per claim 21, Humpleman et al.,

discloses a coupon for purchasing the product at a reduced price and the method further comprises transmitting the coupon to the consumer (see paragraphs [0067], and [0090]).

As per claim 25, Humpleman et al., discloses the broadcasting over

a network includes broadcasting the program over a television network (See page 2, paragraph [0015], which discusses providing a broadcast supply of targeted advertising content in a television broadcast system).

**As per claims 26, 47 Humpleman et al., discloses the broadcasting
over**

a network includes broadcasting the program over at least one of radio, television, satellite, cable, computer, and internet networks (See page 2, paragraph [0015], which

Art Unit: 3622

discusses providing a broadcast supply of targeted advertising content in a television broadcast system).

As per claim 33, Humpleman et al. discloses a system for marketing a mass consumer product over a broadcast network comprising: a broadcast component for broadcasting over a broadcast network (See page 4, paragraph [0059], which discusses the viewer being offered \$100 for taking a test drive of the Volkswagen),

the incentive being described in the program as redeemable by the consumers during a visit to at least one of the retail establishment at the time of obtaining the product (See page 5, paragraph [0067], which discusses the user being able to redeem offers downloaded by a viewer by having a smart card transmit the savings to in-store point of sale devices);

a selection component for, based on the obtained personal information, selecting an item suited for the consumer having the obtained personal information (see [0046] In a separate screen 15 entitled specials, or deals, the user is presented with a selection of targeted billboards which highlight special offers, further sorted by transactional type; everyday, occasional and entertainment. The offers have been selected and presented in order of relevance to the household profile. By indicating a preference in browsing more content of a particular transactional type, the viewer is presented with additional offers of this variety)..

Humpleman et al. does not explicitly disclose broadcasting a program of

Art Unit: 3622

at least about 10 minutes in duration, the program containing information about the mass consumer product;

However Albright discloses the use of infomercials of 10 minutes to promote products to a viewing audience. The Infomercial Awards Conference held at Las Vegas Nevada in 2000 had discussions about the Home Shopping Network (HSN) in St. Petersburg, Florida being one of the epicenters of infomercial production and further discussed that HSN began airing 30-minute shows on USA Network, Sci-Fi Channel and FoxSports Network (Page 3, paragraph 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add broadcasting of direct marketing programs of at least 10 minutes in duration as to the system of Humpleman et al. in order to utilize the attention grabbing opportunity to fully market consumer products to a wide viewing audience.

Humpleman et al. does not explicitly disclose providing contact information for consumers to use to request an incentive associated with a prospective purchase of the product, the incentive being associated with a unique code for tracking usage of the incentive to obtain information related to marketing the product.

However, Walker et al. discloses providing contact information for consumers to use to request an incentive associated with a prospective purchase of the product, the incentive being associated with a unique code for tracking usage of the incentive to obtain information related to marketing the product (see paragraphs [0204], [0294], and [0122]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add providing contact information for consumers to use to request an incentive associated with a prospective purchase of the product, the incentive being associated with a unique code for tracking usage of the incentive to obtain information related to marketing the product to the system of Humpleman et al. in order to effectively manage the distribution and tracking of incentives such as coupons made available to consumers.

Humpleman et al. does not explicitly disclose customizing the incentive such that incentive incentivizes purchase of the item selected based on the obtained personal information.

However, Fernandes discloses customizing the incentive such that incentive incentivizes purchase of the item selected based on the obtained personal information (See [0012] via tailored promotions based on customer preference information).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add customizing the incentive such that incentive incentivizes purchase of the item selected based on the obtained personal information such as preferences to the system of Humpleman et al. in order to ensure the customer would be interested in the incentive.

As per claim 40, Humpleman et al., does not disclose the program being approximately one half of an hour in duration the program.

However Albright discloses the program being approximately one half of an hour in duration the program (Page 3, paragraph 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add program being approximately one half of an hour in duration the program to the system of Humpleman et al. in order to utilize the attention grabbing opportunity to fully market consumer products to a wide viewing audience.

As per claim 44, Humpleman et al. **does not explicitly disclose** tracking usage of the incentive via the unique tracking code

However, Walker et al. ('3478) discloses tracking usage of the incentive via the unique tracking code (see paragraphs [0122]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add incentives associated with a unique code for tracking usage of the incentive to obtain information related to marketing the product to the system of Humpleman et al. in order to develop a historical database of consumer information to be used for future marketing purposes.

As per claim 16, Humpleman et al., does not disclose tracking usage of the incentive further comprises tracking whether code provide information on redemption of the incentive has been redeemed and obtaining information on consumers who redeemed the incentive.

However, Walker et al. discloses tracking usage of the incentive further comprises tracking whether code provide information on redemption of the incentive has been redeemed and obtaining information on consumers who redeemed the incentive (See paragraphs [0180], [0226]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add tracking usage of the incentive further comprises tracking whether code provided information on redemption of the incentive has been redeemed and obtaining information on consumers who redeemed the incentive to the system of Humpleman et al. in order to determine which incentives need to be kept active or to be changed.

As per claim 17, Humpleman et al., does not disclose tracking usage of the incentive further comprises, generating profiles of the consumers who redeemed the incentive and categorizing the consumers based on the profiles.

However, Walker et al. discloses tracking usage of the incentive further comprises, generating profiles of the consumers who redeemed the incentive and categorizing the consumers based on the profiles (See paragraphs [0180], [0226]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add tracking usage of the incentive further comprises, generating profiles of the consumers who redeemed the incentive and categorizing the consumers based on the profiles to the system of Humpleman et al. in order to develop a historical database of consumer information to be used for future marketing purposes, and for adapting incentives to particular customers.

As per claim 46, Humpleman et al. **does not explicitly disclose** the data component comprises at least one of an interactive voice response system and a web server.

However, Walker et al. ('3478) discloses the data component comprises at least one of an interactive voice response system and a web server. (see paragraph [0124] and FIG. 1B).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add the data component comprises at least one of an interactive voice response system and a web server to the system of Humpleman et al. in order to make the system more user friendly.

4. Claims 2-6, 18, 27, 34-38, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humpleman et al. (US 20030018969), in view of Albright (October 2, 2000, Knight-Ridder Tribune News, St. Petersburg Times – Florida), in view of Walker et al. (US 2004/0243478), in view of Fernandes (US 20030040958), and further in view of Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution Infomercial by Guthy-Renker

As per claim 2, Humpleman et al., **does not explicitly disclose** demonstrating how to use the product during the broadcasting of the program.

However, Guthy-Renker teaches the Rodan and Fields Proactiv Acne Treatment Solution having demonstrations on how to use the product (See Infomercial (Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution, which demonstrates the model showing the ease of applying the three step process))

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Humpleman et al. to include demonstration on how to use the product as taught by Guthy-Renker in order to provide the consumer with live demonstration on usage of the product.

As per claim 3, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose
demonstrating includes having at least one individual use the product.

However, Guthy-Renker teaches the Rodan and Fields Proactiv Acne Treatment Solution having at least one individual demonstrate use of the product (See Infomercial (Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution, which demonstrates the model showing the ease of applying the three step process)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Humpleman et al. to include demonstration at least one individual using the product as taught by Guthy-Renker in order to provide the consumer with a live testimonial about the product.

As per claim 4, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose
demonstrating includes having multiple individuals use the product and describe their use of the product.

However Guthy-Renker teaches the Rodan and Fields Proactiv Acne Treatment Solution having multiple individuals demonstrate use of the product and describe their use of the product (See Infomercial (Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution, which shows the before and after testimonials of individuals who used the product)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Humpleman et al. to include demonstrations of multiple individuals using the product as taught by Guthy-Renker in order to provide the consumer with several live testimonials about the product.

As per claim 5, Humpleman et al. does not explicitly disclose the individual is an individual whose likeness appears on packaging associated with the product.

Guthy-Renker teaches the Rodan and Fields Proactiv Acne Treatment Solution having an individual whose likeness appears on packaging associated with the product (See Infomercial (Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution, which shows the likeness of models on the brochure that is mailed along with the packaging)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Humpleman et al. to include an individual whose likeness appears on packaging associated with the product as taught by Guthy-Renker in order to provide the consumer with reinforced product recognition by

maintaining the consistency of keeping the individual who was part of the demonstration and usage of the product during the infomercial with the packaging material as well.

As per claim 6, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose the individual is an individual whose likeness appears on advertising material associated with the product.

Guthy-Renker teaches the Rodan and Fields Proactiv Acne Treatment Solution having an individual whose likeness appears on advertising material associated with the product (See Infomercial (Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution, which shows the likeness of models such as Venessa Williams and Stephanie Moore on the advertising material)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Humpleman et al. to include an individual whose likeness appears on adverting material associated with the product as taught by Guthy-Renker in order to provide the consumer with reinforced product recognition by maintaining the consistency of keeping the individual who was part of the demonstration, usage, and packaging of the product during the infomercial, with the advertising material as well.

As per claim 18, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose the product comprises a personal care product, the application of which is designed to occur in multiple steps, and wherein the information contained in the program includes at least one demonstration of the multiple steps.

Art Unit: 3622

Guthy-Renker teaches the Rodan and Fields Proactiv Acne Treatment Solution having a personal care product (Proactiv acne skin treatment), the application of which is designed to occur in multiple steps, and wherein the information contained in the program includes at least one demonstration of the multiple steps (See Infomercial (Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution), which demonstrates the three step process).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Humpleman et al. to include a personal care product, the application of which is designed to occur in multiple steps, and wherein the information contained in the program includes at least one demonstration of the multiple steps as taught by Guthy-Renker in order to teach the customer the ease of using the product.

As per claim 27, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose the mass consumer product is at least one of a personal care product and a cosmetic product.

Guthy-Renker teaches the Rodan and Fields Proactiv Acne Treatment Solution having the mass consumer product is at least one of a personal care product and a cosmetic product (See Infomercial (Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution), which markets the Proactive acne skin treatment).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

Art Unit: 3622

the time the invention was made to modify Humpleman et al. to include a personal care product as taught by Guthy-Renker in order provide the customer with personal care products.

As per claim 34, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose
a demonstration of how to use the product.

Guthy-Renker teaches the Rodan and Fields Proactiv Acne Treatment Solution having demonstrations on how to use the product (See Infomercial (Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution, which demonstrates the model showing the ease of applying the three step process))

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a demonstration on how to use the product as to the system of Humplemen et al. in order to provide the consumer with live demonstration on usage of the product.

As per claim 35, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose
a demonstration wherein at least one individual uses the product.

Guthy-Renker teaches the Rodan and Fields Proactiv Acne Treatment Solution having at least one individual demonstrate use of the product (See Infomercial (Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution, which demonstrates the model showing the ease of applying the three step process)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

Art Unit: 3622

the time the invention was made to add a demonstration wherein at least one individual uses the product to the system of Humplemen et al. in order to provide consumers with a live testimonials about the product.

As per claim 36, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose demonstrating includes having multiple individuals use the product and describe their use of the product.

Guthy-Renker teaches the Rodan and Fields Proactiv Acne Treatment Solution having multiple individuals demonstrate use of the product and describe their use of the product (See Infomercial (Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution, which shows the before and after testimonials of individuals who used the product)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a demonstration of multiple individuals using the product to the system of Humplemen et al. in order to provide the consumer with several live testimonials about the product.

As per claim 37, Humpleman et al. does not explicitly disclose disclose the individual is an individual whose likeness appears on packaging associated with the product.

Guthy-Renker teaches the Rodan and Fields Proactiv Acne Treatment Solution having an individual whose likeness appears on packaging associated with the product (See Infomercial (Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution,

Art Unit: 3622

which shows the likeness of models on the brochure that is mailed along with the packaging)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add an individual whose likeness appears on packaging associated with the product to the system of Humplemen et al. in order to provide the consumer with reinforced product recognition by maintaining the consistency of keeping the individual who was part of the demonstration and usage of the product during the infomercial with the packaging material as well.

As per claim 38, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose the individual is an individual whose likeness appears on advertising material associated with the product.

Guthy-Renker teaches the Rodan and Fields Proactiv Acne Treatment Solution having an individual whose likeness appears on advertising material associated with the product (See Infomercial (Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution, which shows the likeness of models such as Venessa Williams and Stephanie Moore on the advertising material)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add an individual whose likeness appears on adverting material associated with the product to the system of Humplemen et al. in order to provide the consumer with reinforced product recognition by maintaining the consistency of keeping the individual who was part of the demonstration, usage, and packaging of the product

As per claim 41, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose the product comprises a personal care product, the application of which is designed to occur in multiple steps, and wherein the information contained in the program includes at least one demonstration of the multiple steps.

Guthy-Renker teaches the Rodan and Fields Proactiv Acne Treatment Solution having a personal care product (Proactiv acne skin treatment), the application of which is designed to occur in multiple steps, and wherein the information contained in the program includes at least one demonstration of the multiple steps (See Infomercial (Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution), which demonstrates the three step process).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a personal care product, the application of which is designed to occur in multiple steps, and wherein the information contained in the program includes at least one demonstration of the multiple steps to the system of Humplemen et al. in order to provide the customer the ease of using the product.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humpleman et al. (US 20030018969), and Albright (October 2, 2000, Knight-Ridder Tribune News, St. Petersburg Times – Florida), in view of Walker et al. (US 2004/0243478), in view of Fernandes (US

Art Unit: 3622

20030040958), in view of Meyer et al. (US 6,915,271), and further in view of Total Gym Infomercial by Engineering Fitness International.

As per claim 8, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose the contact information is at least one of a telephone number and an internet address.

Engineering Fitness International (EFI) Sports Medicine teaches the Total Gym Infomercial having Engineering Fitness International (EFI) Sports Medicine teaches the Total Gym Infomercial having contact information for consumers to use to request the incentive)

(See Total Gym Infomercial, which shows the contact information, phone numbers and website for requesting the incentive (discounts)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Humpleman et al. to include contact information for consumers to use to request the incentive as taught by EFI in order to provide the consumer with an incentive for purchasing the product and the means to do so.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humpleman et al. (US 20030018969), Albright (October 2, 2000, Knight-Ridder Tribune News, St. Petersburg Times – Florida), in view of Walker et al. (US 2004/0243478), in view of Fernandes (US 20030040958), in view of Meyer et al. (US 6,915,271), in view of Total

Art Unit: 3622

**Gym Infomercial by Engineering Fitness International (EFI), and
further in view of Examiner's Official Notice.**

As per claim 9, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose that the program provides contact information a plurality of times during the program.

Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the art to provide contact information consumers several times during a television program or infomercial of incentives.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Humpleman et al., to include encouraging a plurality of times during the program as taught by Examiner's Official Notice in order to ensure that the consumer makes the purchase within a short time period after listening to the infomercial, and preferably during the show.

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humplemen et al. (US 20030018969), in view of Albright (October 2, 2000, Knight-Ridder Tribune News, St. Petersburg Times – Florida), in view of Walker et al. (US 2004/0243478), in view of Fernandes (US 20030040958), and further in view of Landesmann (US 6,735,572).

As per claim 13, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose that the further information includes a list of retail establishments that carry the product and are in geographical proximity to respective locations of the consumers.

Landesmann teaches buyer-driven targeting of purchasing entities having information that includes a list of retail establishments that carry the product and are in geographical proximity to respective locations of the consumers (See page 22, lines 12-14, which discusses the consumer being able to ask for information on the stores in his immediate vicinity that are offering the promotion).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Humpleman et al. to include other information that includes a list of retail establishments that carry the product and are in geographical proximity to respective locations of the consumers as taught by Landesmann in order to provide the consumer with the closest stores that carry the product and the promotion.

8. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humplemen et al. (US 20030018969), in view of Albright (October 2, 2000, Knight-Ridder Tribune News, St. Petersburg Times – Florida), in view of Walker et al. (US 2004/0243478), in view of Fernandes (US 20030040958), and in view of Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution Infomercial by Guthy-Renker, and further in view of Baxter (US 5,335,679).

As per claim 19, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose that the product comprises a hair coloring kit for performing a multi-step hair coloring process.

Baxter teaches a device and process for use in coloring hair having a hair coloring kit for performing a multi-step hair coloring process (See FIGS. 6-11)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Humpleman et al., to include the product comprises a hair coloring kit for performing a multi-step hair coloring process as taught by Baxter in order to provide customers with personal care products that includes hair care products as well.

9. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humplemen et al. (US 20030018969), in view of Albright (October 2, 2000, Knight-Ridder Tribune News, St. Petersburg

Times – Florida), in view of Walker et al. (US 2004/0243478), in view of Fernandes (US 20030040958), in view of Skin Care and Acne Treatment Products from Proactiv Solution Infomercial by Guthy-Renker, in view of Baxter (US 5,335,679), and further in view of Patel et al. (US 6,770,103).

As per claim 20, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose that the multi-step hair coloring process comprises applying highlighting material to moist hair and the demonstrating includes demonstrating the applying of the highlight material to moist hair.

Patel et al. teaches a method and composition for the gradual permanent coloring of hair comprises a hair coloring kit for performing a multi-step hair coloring process having the multi-step hair coloring process comprises applying highlighting material to moist hair and the demonstrating includes demonstrating the applying of the highlight material to moist hair (See page 12, lines 23-27, which discusses the steps for using the hair coloring as follows: Shampoo the hair as usual. Pump the composition of the invention into the palm and mix. Apply product to the hair like a regular conditioner. Rinse the hands. Leave product in the hair for about 2 minutes for color maintenance to about 5 minutes for color change. Rinse the hair thoroughly)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Humpleman et al., to that the multi-step hair coloring process comprises applying highlighting material to moist hair and the

Art Unit: 3622

demonstrating includes demonstrating the applying of the highlight material to moist hair as taught by Patel et al. in order to provide customers with the option of using a wet or moist hair coloring treatment method.

10. Claims 22, 24 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humplemen et al. (US 20030018969), in view of Albright (October 2, 2000, Knight-Ridder Tribune News, St. Petersburg Times – Florida), in view of Walker et al. (US 2004/0243478), in view of Fernandes (US 20030040958), and further in view of Von Kohorn (US 6,443,840).

As per claim 22, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose where the incentive includes a rebate received by the consumer for mention of the program upon obtaining the product during a visit to at least one of the retail establishments.

Von Kohorn teaches evaluation of responses of participatory broadcast audience with prediction of winning contestants; monitoring, checking and controlling of wagering, and automatic crediting and couponing having the incentive include a rebate received by the consumer for mention of the program upon obtaining the product during a visit to at least one of the retail establishments (See column 45, lines 25-30, which discusses the viewers verifying that they are tuned in to the desired program. This method can be employed when discontinued items, or "loss leaders", are intended to lead customers to a store, in which case more valuable prizes are awarded for relatively

Art Unit: 3622

easy answers. Column 74, line 31 discusses prizes as tokens. Column 132, lines 28-29 discusses token as a rebate check).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add incentive includes a rebate received by the consumer for mention of the program upon obtaining the product during a visit to at least one of the retail establishments to the system of Humpleman et al. in order to provide the consumer with an added incentive of visiting a retail location where the advertised product and perhaps other products are sold.

As per claim 24, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose where the incentive includes a free gift to the consumer upon mention of the program and obtaining the product during a visit to at least one of the retail establishments.

Von Kohorn teaches evaluation of responses of participatory broadcast audience with prediction of winning contestants; monitoring, checking and controlling of wagering, and automatic crediting and couponing having the incentive includes a free gift to the consumer upon mention of the program and obtaining the product during a visit to at least one of the retail establishments (See column 45, lines 25-30, which discusses the viewers verifying that they are tuned in to the desired program. This method can be employed when discontinued items, or "loss leaders", are intended to lead customers to a store. Column 93, lines 47-49, which discusses gifts presented by an advertiser).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

Art Unit: 3622

the time the invention was made to incentives include a free gift to the consumer upon mention of the program and obtaining the product during a visit to at least one of the retail establishments to the system of Humplemen et al. in order to provide the consumer with gift incentives of visiting a retail location where the advertised product and perhaps other products are sold.

As per claim 48, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose a transmitter for transmitting the incentive to consumers..

Von Kohorn discloses a transmitter for transmitting the incentive to consumers.
(See col 6, lines 3-29).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a transmitter for transmitting the incentive to consumers to the system of Humpleman et al. in order to facilitate the customers receiving coupons from customers.

Art Unit: 3622

11. Claims 23, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humplemen et al. (US 20030018969), in view of Albright (October 2, 2000, Knight-Ridder Tribune News, St. Petersburg Times – Florida), in view of Walker et al. (US 2004/0243478), in view of Fernandes (US 20030040958), and further in view of Packes, Jr. et. al. (US 7,006,983).

As per claim 23, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose where the incentive includes a mail-in rebate certificate which is received by the consumer by mentioning the program upon obtaining the product during a visit to at least-one of the retail establishments.

Packes, Jr et al. teaches a method and system for processing a mail-in-rebate certificate (See Abstract, which discusses a point of sale (POS) rebate method and system allows a consumer purchasing a product having an associated manufacturer's mail-in rebate to redeem the rebate at the POS).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add incentives that include a mail-in rebate certificate which is received by the consumer by mentioning the program upon obtaining the product during a visit to at least-one of the retail establishments to he system of Humplamen et al. in order to provide the consumer with mail-in-rebates that can be redeemed at the POS, thereby mitigating the usual problem with rebates of missing the main-in dates or simply forgetting to mail it in.

As per claim 45, Humpleman et al., does not explicitly disclose restricting the incentive such that the incentive can only be redeemed at a retail establishment at the time of obtaining the product.

Packes, Jr et al. discloses restricting the incentive such that the incentive can only be redeemed at a retail establishment at the time of obtaining the product (See col 9, lines 51-56).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add restricting the incentive such that the incentive can only be redeemed at a retail establishment at the time of obtaining the product to the system of Humpleman et al. in order to ensure customers purchase the product at particular retail locations.

Response to Arguments

12. The applicant's arguments are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection above.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3622

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney M. Henry whose telephone number is 571-270-5102. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7:30am to 7:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached 570-272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-270-6102.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,613

Page 33

Art Unit: 3622

RMH

/Arthur Duran/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622